



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**MICELLAR REGION OF IONIC SURFACTANT SOLUTION AND  
ITS MICROENVIRONMENT PROPERTIES**

**LAILI BT HJ. CHE' ROSE**

**FSAS 2001 23**

**MICELLAR REGION OF IONIC SURFACTANT SOLUTION AND  
ITS MICROENVIRONMENT PROPERTIES**

**By**

**LAILI BT HJ. CHE' ROSE**

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree  
of Master of Science in the Faculty of Science and Environmental  
Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia**

**May 2001**



*Dedicated to Allahyarhamah Hajjah Fatimah Bt Md Sab  
In memory of my beloved mother  
31 May 1998*

*"Thank You for your everlasting love and support.  
Although you are not able to share my success  
I know that you will be very happy for me."  
May Allah Bless You Always  
Al Fatihah*

*I Love You So Much, Mak!!*

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia  
in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**MICELLAR REGION OF IONIC SURFACTANTS SOLUTION  
AND ITS MICROENVIRONMENT PROPERTIES**

By

**LAILI BT HJ CHE' ROSE**

**May 2001**

**Chairman : Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Zaizi Bin Desa**

**Faculty : Science and Environmental Studies**

The conventional method which have been used most extensively to determine aggregation number,  $n$  such as classical and quasi-elastic light scattering suffers from the lack in the determination of  $n$  as a function of concentration. It has been proposed that a fluorescence method of micelle-solubilized pyrene and using the ratio of the first and third vibronic bands as an index of the effective local polarity, provides an alternative to the study of the microenvironment of micellar interior.

It is well understood that the addition of a third component, affects not only the critical micelle concentration, cmc and aggregation number but also the microenvironment of the micelle. Compounds such as alcohols which are solubilized in the micelles, directly modify the micelle itself. Addition of salts modifies the electric double layer around a micelle and alters the hydrophobic interaction, which is significant for micelle formation. The variations in the hydrophobic interaction indirectly influence the microenvironment inside the micelles.

With that note, this work is directed to the investigation of the micellar region and the microenvironment property namely micropolarity, of micellar interior of two ionic surfactant, above cmc, upon the addition of a medium chain alcohol, pentanol employing the fluorescence probing method. The ionic surfactants are the negatively charged, sodium dodecyl sulphate, SDS and the positively charged, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide, CTAB.

From the phase diagram studies, the micellar region has been successfully constructed and identified in both aqueous and nonaqueous systems at 30 °C. The results show that the presence of a

polar solvent, glycerol disturbs the stability of the micellar region in both of the ionic surfactant systems. The result also show that the negatively charged, SDS is more superior in solubilizing pentanol than the positively charged, CTAB in the aqueous systems.

From the fluorescence studies, the results show a decrease in the ratio of the vibronic bands at higher pentanol content. The micropolarity of the micellar interior with CTAB is, however, found to be higher than the corresponding one with SDS regardless of the concentration of the ionic surfactant solution. In addition, a transition in the micellar shape is also observed and is further supported by the conductivity studies.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**KAWASAN MISEL LARUTAN SURFAKTAN IONIK DAN  
CIRI-CIRI MIKROSEKITARANNYA**

Oleh

**LAILI BT HJ CHE'ROSE**

**Mei 2001**

**Pengerusi : Prof. Madya Dr Mohd Zaizi Bin Desa**

**Fakulti : Sains dan Pengajian Alam Sekitar**

Kaedah konvensional yang telah banyak digunakan untuk menentukan nombor agregasi,  $n$ , seperti pengimbuhan cahaya klasik dan quasi-elastik gagal bagi menentukan  $n$  sebagai satu fungsi kepekatan. Ada dicadangkan bahawa kaedah pendaflor kelarutan pirena dalam misel dan menggunakan nisbah puncak vibronik pertama dan ketiga sebagai indeks keberkesanan kepolaran pusat, dalam memberikan satu alternatif bagi kajian mikrosekitaran dalaman misel.

Adalah diketahui bahawa penambahan satu komponen ketiga akan memberi kesan bukan sahaja kepada kepekatan kritikal misel, kkm dan nombor agregasi tetapi juga kepada mikrosekitaran misel. Sebatian-sebatian seperti alkohol yang larut dalam misel akan secara langsung mengubah misel tersebut. Penambahan garam-garam akan mengubah dwilapisan elektrik sekeliling misel dan mengubah saling tindak hidrofobik yang sangat penting dalam pembentukan misel. Perubahan dalam saling tindak hidrofobik ini secara tidak langsung akan mempengaruhi mikrosekitaran dalaman misel.

Dengan itu, kerja ini ditumpukan kepada kajian kawasan misel dan mikrosekitaran seperti kepolaran mikro dalaman misel untuk dua surfaktan ionik, selepas kkm, pada penambahan alkohol berantai sederhana, 1- pentanol dengan menggunakan kaedah prob pendaflor. Surfaktan ionik yang bercas negatif adalah seperti natrium dodesil sulfat, SDS dan yang bercas positif, setiltrimetilammonium bromida, CTAB.

Daripada kajian gambarajah fasa, kawasan misel telah berjaya dibentuk dan ditentukan dalam dua sistem berair dan tanpa air pada 30 °C. Keputusan menunjukkan kehadiran satu pelarut polar, gliserol



mengganggu kestabilan kawasan misel kedua-dua sistem surfaktan ionik. Keputusan juga menunjukkan bahawa surfaktan bercas negatif, SDS lebih baik dalam melarutkan 1- pentanol berbanding surfaktan bercas positif dalam sistem berair.

Dari kajian pendaflor, keputusan menunjukkan pengurangan nisbah puncak vibronik pada kandungan 1- pentanol yang tinggi. Kepolaran mikro dalaman misel untuk CTAB walau bagaimanapun, didapati lebih tinggi berbanding dengan SDS tanpa mengira kepekatan larutan surfaktan ionik. Tambahan pula, satu transisi terhadap bentuk misel didapati dan disokong lagi dari kajian kekonduksian.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my most sincere gratitude and deep appreciation to the committee Chairman, Assoc. Prof. Dr Mohd Zaizi Desa for his contribution, guidance, ideas and time towards my project. I would also like to thank the committee members, Assoc. Prof. Dr Anuar Kasim and Assoc. Prof. Dr Faujan B.H.Ahmad .

Special gratitude to my family, and especially my beloved husband; Prof Dr. Hamdan B. Haji Suhaimi, my two beautiful children; Muhammad Haiman and Halili Athirah, my father; Haji Che' Rose B. Baba, my mother in-law; Hajjah Chik Norriah Bt Yan, my brothers and sisters , for their patience and morale support. They are my pride and my joy.


I certify that an Examination Committee met on 14<sup>th</sup> May 2001 for the final examination of Laili Bt Haji Che'Rose on her Master of Science thesis "Micellar Region of Ionic Surfactant Solution And Its Microenvironment Properties" in accordance with the Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Dzulkefli Kuang B Abdullah, Ph D,  
Associate Professor,  
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

Mohd Zaizi B. Desa, Ph.D,  
Associate Professor,  
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

Faujan B.H. Ahmad, Ph.D,  
Associate Professor,  
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

Anuar B. Kassim, Ph.D,  
Associate Professor,  
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

  
.....

AINI IDERIS, Ph.D,  
Professor/ Dean of Graduate School,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 25 MAY 2001



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.




AINI IDERIS, Ph.D,  
Professor/ Dean of Graduate School  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: **14** JUN 2001

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for the quotation and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia, UPM or at any other institution.



.....  
LAILI BT HAJI CHE'ROSE

Date: 25/5/2021

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION .....	ii
ABSTRACT.....	iii
ABSTRAK.....	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	ix
APPROVAL SHEETS.....	x
DECLARATION FORM.....	xii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xxiii

### CHAPTER

INTRODUCTION		1
1.1 Amphiphilic Compounds.....		1
1.2 Surfactants.....		
1.3 Micelles.....		10
1.3.1 Critical Micellar Concentration (cmc).....		17
1.3.2 Factors Affecting the CMC and Micellar Size.....		18
1.3.2.1 Nature of Counterion.....		19
1.3.2.2 Effect of Additives.....		19
1.4 Microemulsion.....		20
1.4.1 Definition and Structure...		21
1.4.2 Type of Microemulsion...		23
1.4.3 Uses and Applications.....		23
1.5 Fluorescence.....		24
1.6 Conductivity.....		31
1.7 Literature Review.....		33
1.8 Objectives.....		42
 MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL		 43
2.1 Material.....		43
2.2 Experimental Design.....		44



2.3	Determination of Micellar Region.....	44
2.4	Fluorescence Measurements.....	46
2.5	Conductivity Measurements.....	48
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		50
3.1	Ternary Systems in Aqueous Environment .....	50
3.1.1	Micellar Region in Aqueous Surfactant Systems.....	51
3.2	Ternary Systems in Nonaqueous Environment .....	58
3.2.1	Micellar Region in Nonaqueous Surfactant Systems.....	59
3.3	Fluorescence Studies.....	68
3.3.1	Fluorescence Intensity at 90/10 weight ratio of water/surfactant	71
3.3.2	Fluorescence Intensity at 80/20 weight ratio of water/surfactant	81
3.4	Comparison of Fluorescence Intensity .....	85
3.4.1	Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate (SDS) Systems .....	87
3.4.2	Cetyltrimethyl-ammonium Bromide (CTAB) Systems .....	95
3.5	Conductivity Studies .....	98
3.5.1	Conductivity at 90/10 weight ratio of Water/Surfactant .....	100
CONCLUSION.....		109
REFERENCES.....		111
APPENDIX A.....		119
APPENDIX B.....		129
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR.....		133

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1.1	Structure of a surfactant.....	4
1.2	Behaviour of a surfactant in solution.....	12
1.3	Structure of (a) normal and (b) inverse micelle.....	15
1.4	Physical properties curves for aqueous sodium lauryl sulfate.....	18
1.5	Structural features and particle size of : a) macroemulsion b i) Water in oil microemulsion (w/o) ii) Oil in water microemulsion (o/w)	22
1.6	Some uses of microemulsion.....	25
1.7	Structure of Pyrene.....	26
1.8	Structural requirements for fluorescence : a) phenyl methylketone (not fluoresce) b) 1,3 -diphenyl pyrazoline (fluoresce).....	28
1.9	Energy diagram illustrating alternative transitions from excited $S_1$ state of potentially fluorescence molecule.....	32
1.10	The exchange of alcohol and surfactant molecules in a micelle structure .....	35



1.11	Solvent dependence of vibronic band intensities in pyrene monomer fluorescence (Kalyanasundaram & Thomas, 1977) .....	37
1.12	Pyrene monomer fluorescence in aqueous sodium lauryl sulphate solution, at concentration below and above the cmc (Kalyanasundaram & Thomas, 1977) .....	38
2.1	Diagram of Experimental Design.....	45
2.2	The compositions for the investigation of micropolarity. Point A corresponds to water/surfactant weight ratio of 90/10. Point B correspond to water/surfactant weight ratio of 80/20.....	47
3.1	The solubility region for water, pentanol and a third component consisting of sodium dodecyl sulphate (—) and cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (.....).....	52
3.2	The solubility region for water, sodium dodecyl sulphate and a third component consisting of pentanol/decane (50/50 wt/wt).....	54
3.3	The solubility region for water, sodium dodecyl sulphate and a third component consisting of pentanol/p-xylene (50/50 wt/wt).....	55
3.4	The solubility region for water, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide and a third component consisting of pentanol/decane (50/50 wt/wt).....	56
3.5	The solubility region for water, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide and a third component consisting of pentanol/p-xylene (50/50 wt/wt).....	57

3.6	The solubility region for glycerol, pentanol and a third component consisting of cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide.....	60
3.7	The solubility region for glycerol, pentanol and a third component consisting of sodium dodecyl sulphate.....	61
3.8	The solubility region for glycerol, sodium dodecyl sulphate and a third component consisting of pentanol/decane (50/50 wt/wt).....	63
3.9	The solubility region for glycerol, sodium dodecyl sulphate and a third component consisting of pentanol/p-xylene (50/50 wt/wt).....	64
3.10	The solubility region for glycerol, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide and a third component consisting of pentanol/decane (50/50 wt/wt).....	65
3.11	The solubility region for glycerol, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide and a third component consisting of pentanol/p-xylene (50/50 wt/wt).....	66
3.12	The solubility of water by weight fraction in water (—) and water/glycerol (50/50 wt/wt) (.....) of cetyltrimethylammonium bromide mixture (weight fraction) for lamellar liquid crystal mesophase.....	69
3.13	Intensity ratio of $I_1/I_3$ as a function of the percentage of pentanol for water/sodium dodecyl sulphate (90/10) and pentanol.....	73

3.14	Intensity ratio of $I_1/I_3$ as a function of the percentage of pentanol for water/sodium dodecyl sulphate (90/10) and pentanol/decane (50/50 wt/wt).....	74
3.15	Intensity ratio of $I_1/I_3$ as a function of the percentage of pentanol for water/sodium dodecyl sulphate (90/10) and pentanol/ <i>p</i> -xylene (50/50 wt/wt).....	75
3.16	Intensity ratio of $I_1/I_3$ as a function of the percentage of pentanol for water/sodium dodecyl sulphate (90/10) and: □, pentanol ; Δ, pentanol/decane; and o, pentanol/ <i>p</i> -xylene.....	76
3.17	Intensity ratio of $I_1/I_3$ as a function of the percentage of pentanol for water/cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (90/10) and: ■, pentanol ; ▲, pentanol/decane and ●, pentanol/ <i>p</i> -xylene. ....	78
3.18	Intensity ratio of $I_1/I_3$ as a function of the percentage of pentanol for water/sodium dodecyl sulphate (80/20) and pentanol.....	82
3.19	Intensity ratio of $I_1/I_3$ as a function of the percentage of pentanol for water/sodium dodecyl sulphate (80/20) and Δ, pentanol/decane and o, pentanol/ <i>p</i> -xylene....	84
3. 20.	Intensity ratio of $I_1/I_3$ as a function of the percentage of pentanol for water/cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (80/20) and: ■, pentanol ; ▲, pentanol/decane and ●, pentanol/ <i>p</i> -xylene.....	86
3.21	Comparison of intensity ratio of $I_1/I_3$ as a function of the percentage of pentanol in system containing water/sodium doceyl sulphate at: □, 90/10; ■, 80/20 and pentanol.....	88

3.22	Comparison of intensity ratio of $I_1/I_3$ as a function of the percentage of pentanol in system containing water/sodium doceyl sulphate at: □, 90/10; ■, 80/20 and pentanol/decane.....	89
3.23	Comparison of intensity ratio of $I_1/I_3$ as a function of the percentage of pentanol in system containing water/sodium doceyl sulphate at: □, 90/10; ■, 80/20 and pentanol/p-xylene.....	90
3.24	Comparison of intensity ratio of $I_1/I_3$ as a function of the percentage of pentanol in system containing water/cetyltrimethylammonium bromide at: □, 90/10; ■, 80/20 and pentanol.....	94
3.25	Comparison of intensity ratio of $I_1/I_3$ as a function of the percentage of pentanol in system containing water/cetyltrimethylammonium bromide at: □, 90/10; ■, 80/20 and pentanol/decane.....	95
3.26	Comparison of intensity ratio of $I_1/I_3$ as a function of the percentage of pentanol in system containing water/cetyltrimethylammonium bromide at: □, 90/10; ■, 80/20 and pentanol/p-xylene.....	96
3.27	The variation in conductivity as a function of the percentage of pentanol for water/sodium dodecyl sulphate(90/10)/pentanol.....	101
3.28	The variation in conductivity as a function of the percentage of pentanol for water/sodium dodecyl sulphate(90/10)/pentanol/decane.....	102

3.29	The variation in conductivity as a function of the percentage of pentanol for water/sodium dodecyl sulphate(90/10)/ pentanol/ <i>p</i> -xylene....	103
3.30	The variation in conductivity as a function of the percentage of pentanol for water/cetyltrimethylammonium bromide(90/10)/pentanol. ....	105
3.31	The variation in conductivity as a function of the percentage of pentanol for water/cetyltrimethylammonium bromide(90/10)/ and ▲, pentanol/decane and ●, pentanol/ <i>p</i> -xylene.....	106
3.32	Comparison in system containing water/sodium dodecyl sulphate (90/10) with <i>p</i> -xylene using: □, fluorescence and ■, conductivity measurements.....	108

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

SDS	Sodium dodecylsulphate
CTAB	Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide
RST	Regular Solution Theory
L <sub>1</sub>	Normal micelle (o/w microemulsion)
L <sub>2</sub>	Reverse micelle (w/o microemulsion)
W/O	Water in oil
O/W	Oil in water

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Amphiphilic Compounds

Amphiphilic compounds or more briefly, amphiphiles, are characterized by possessing in the same molecule two distinct groups which differ greatly in their solubility relationships. Such compounds were termed “amphipathic” by Hartley (1936) to denote the presence of a “lyophilic” group having an affinity for the solvent and a second group, sometimes referred to as “lyophobic”, which is antipathetic to the solvent. Whenever water is the solvent, the two groups are often designated as hydrophilic and hydrophobic portions, or more commonly and perhaps more pictorially as the “head” and the “tail” respectively. The terms “lipophile”, “hydrophobe”, and “amphile’s tail” therefore, are all used in the literature to describe the same part of the amphiphilic compound, and these terms may be regarded as being interchangeable. Similarly, “hydrophile” and “amphiphile’s head” both refer to the same part of the amphiphile and are also interchangeable.

Amphiphilic compounds tend to concentrate as a monolayer at a water interface, with the tendency increasing as both the lipophilic and hydrophilic character of the amphiphile becomes more pronounced. At the interface, amphiphilic compounds are arranged so that the lipophile is removed from the water while the hydrophile remains in contact with the aqueous solution. This molecular orientation is consistent with a number of experimental observations, including the sharp reduction in surface or interfacial tension attending the addition of small quantities of amphiphile to an aqueous phase. Due to this pronounced tendency for amphiphiles to accumulate as a monolayer at an interface, and there to reduce the surface tension, they are sometimes called surface-active agents or, more simply, surfactants (Tadros, 1984).

## **1.2 Surfactants**

Surfactants are among the most demanded products in the chemical industry today. They appear in such diverse products such as in detergents, motor oils , pharmaceuticals, cosmetics and drilling muds used in prospecting for petroleum. More recent investigations



(Rosen, 1985) by researchers are focussing on chemical kinetics and biochemistry due to the amazing properties of the polymeric forms (micelles) of these materials.

Surfactant or otherwise known as *surface - active agent* is a substance that, when present at low concentration in a system, has the ability of adsorbing onto the surfaces or the interfaces of the system and thus altering the properties of those surfaces (or interfaces). The term interface denotes a boundary between any two insoluble phases; the term surface indicates an interface where one phase is a gas, usually air.

Surfactants (Fig. 1.1) have a molecular structure consisting of a group that has low attraction for the solvent, known as the lyophobic (hydrophobic) group, along with a group that has strong attraction for the solvent, called the lyophilic (hydrophilic) group. This characteristic structure is known as amphiphatic structure. The hydrophobic group which is the non-polar portion of the surfactant molecules is usually a long - chain hydrocarbon. The hydrophilic group is an ionic or highly polar portion and depending on the nature of the hydrophilic group, surfactant are categorized (Rosen, 1985) as: